Characteristics and Outcomes of an Innovative Train-in-Place Residency Program

Judith Green-McKenzie, MD, MPH Edward A. Emmett, MD, MS

ABSTRACT

Background Physicians who make a midcareer specialty change may find their options for formal training are limited. Here, we describe a train-in-place program, with measureable outcomes, created to train midcareer physicians who desire formal training in occupational medicine.

Objective We evaluated educational outcomes from a novel residency program for midcareer physicians seeking formal training and board certification in occupational medicine.

Methods Physicians train in place at selected clinical training sites where they practice, and participate in 18 visits to the primary training site over a 2-year period. Program components include competency-based training structured around rotations, mentored projects, and periodic auditing visits to train-in-site locations by program faculty. Main outcome measures are achievement of Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education Occupational Medicine Milestones, American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine competencies, performance on the American College of Preventive Medicine examinations, diversity in selection, placement of graduates, and the number of graduates who remain in the field.

Results Since inception of this program in 1997, there have been 109 graduates who comprise 7.2% of new American Board of Preventive Medicine diplomates over the past decade. Graduates scored competitively on the certifying examination, achieved all milestones, expressed satisfaction with training, and are geographically dispersed, representing every US region. Most practice outside the 25 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas. More than 95% have remained in the field.

Conclusions Training in place is an effective approach to provide midcareer physicians seeking comprehensive skills and board certification in occupational medicine formal training, and may be adaptable to other specialties.

Introduction

Work-related injuries and illnesses, for which workers' compensation is the major payer, pose a significant burden to the United States. In 2013, approximately 3 million nonfatal workplace injuries and illnesses were reported in US private industry; more than half resulted in job transfer, time off work, or returning to work on modified duty assignment.^{1,2} Workers' compensation costs (\$62 billion in 2012³) are in the range of cost estimates for asthma, mental health, and cancer.⁴ Integrated workers' compensation management, for which occupational and environmental medicine (OEM) specialists are uniquely qualified, reduces costs and improves return-to-work outcomes.^{2,5}

OEM is a division of preventive medicine that blends population health management and patient care, focusing on the care of injured and ill workers. Although OEM has a high satisfaction index⁶ and a robust market of available positions,^{7,8} many physicians enter OEM midcareer, often unaware of its existence until after residency^{9–11} and practice in another field.

OEM faces funding challenges. Programs have traditionally relied on funding from the National

Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), the Health Resources Services Administration, the military, the Occupational Physicians Scholarship Fund, and other private sources. As these sources have dwindled, the number of Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME)–approved OEM residency programs has declined from 38 a decade ago to 26, and one-third of positions are unfilled. The number of board-certified OEM physicians also has declined from 121 in 2003 to 76 in 2015. The American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine projects a net workforce reduction of 891 physicians (33%) from 2015 to 2025 due to retirement, using a conservative assumption of 764 newly certified OEM physicians. 14

OEM residency-trained physicians report their training is highly relevant to their practice. They are afforded more professional opportunities due to greater skill diversity, including population management, clinical, research, and leadership skills, than those not trained in the specialty. In contrast, physicians who make a midcareer shift to OEM without added residency training often feel hampered in the new professional role. At the same time, individuals interested in added training may be unable to terminate their current employment or take a leave of absence to initiate it. 10

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-16-00689.1

Our program was created in response to the Institute of Medicine's 2000 call to develop new routes to OEM certification, and focused on meeting the challenge of training midcareer physicians. Medicine lacks opportunities to change specialty following completion of residency, ¹⁶ and training often is concentrated in larger metropolitan areas that may not be readily accessible to all. ^{17,18} Innovative methods, such as train-in-place education opportunities, ¹⁹ allow physicians to train where they practice and live.

Methods

The In-Place Training Program Outline

Our residency program uses a combination of supervised training in the community settings in which the residents in the program already are employed and intensive training at a tertiary institution.

The novel train-in-place track in our program has been in existence since 1997. Qualified applicants have completed at least 1 clinical year and have a Master of Public Health or equivalent degree, or a plan for completing such a degree before graduation, in keeping with ACGME requirements.²⁰ Residents in the train-in-place track work full-time as OEM physicians at an approved clinical training site, which provides for the range of required experiences for meaningful training and supervision by an American Board of Preventive Medicine (ABPM)-certified physician. Site adequacy is documented prior to admission. Program electives include the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, NIOSH, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, and corporate settings.

Program Components and Sites

There are 2 interrelated components of the program: the applied component at the clinical training site and

TABLE 1
Competency Areas for the Residency

OM 1-Year Subject Area Rotations and Program OEM Competencies	The workplace: hazard recognition, evaluation, and control The worker: disability and work fitness Organizational and health care management Environmental health, risk assessment, and risk communication Population-based occupational medicine, including epidemiology and wellness
OM 2-Year Subject Area Rotations and Program OEM Competencies	 Disaster preparedness and emergency management Leadership, resiliency, and team building Practical industrial hygiene Advanced organizational and health care management Career and personal development

Abbreviations: OM, occupational medicine; OEM, occupational and environmental medicine.

What was known and gap

The nation has a shortage of occupational medicine physicians, and many physicians become aware of the specialty only after starting a career in another specialty.

What is new

A train-in-place program allows physicians interested in a midcareer change to complete an occupational medicine program while remaining in their practice role and location.

Limitations

Single site intervention may reduce generalizability to other settings.

Bottom line

The train-in-place model overcomes barriers to access to training, resulting in increased numbers of occupational and environmental medicine physicians.

a didactic component that consists of monthly 3-day sessions at the University of Pennsylvania hospitals. There are 12 sessions during the first year 19 and 5 during the second year. Residents are educated to achieve ACGME Milestones and competencies²⁰ and American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine²¹ and program competencies (TABLE 1), and succeed on the ABPM-occupational medicine (OM) Examination. Special emphasis is placed on organizational health care management skills with strategy development and marketing, as OEM physicians may function in corporate and public sector positions^{5,22}; interpersonal and communication skills to effectively interact with labor, management, and industrial hygiene representatives; and population management and critical thinking skills to prepare graduates to address the population health needs of workers.^{21,23} Faculty are ABPM diplomates and experts in their subject areas.

Learning Plan

Residents develop an individualized educational plan in conjunction with faculty where they detail their plan for competency achievement. Elements of resident assessments considered include prior skills, experience,

 TABLE 2

 Representative Subject Area Rotation Projects

Subject Area Rotation	Project			
Workplace: hazard recognition, evaluation, and control	Evaluation of a workplace hearing conservation program with implementation of improvements			
Worker: disability and work fitness	Implementation of a program to improve fitness for offshore duty			
Organizational and health care management	Application of queuing theory to improve patient flow at a clinic			
Environmental health, risk assessment, and risk communication	Radiation exposure in cardiac catheterization laboratory employees			
Population-based occupational medicine	Health benefits of a mandatory medical program to determine fitness for duty			

interests, results from assessment tools such as the annual In-service Examination, self-assessment against program competencies (n \sim 150), the ACGME Milestones (since 2013), and evaluation of rotations and resources.

Didactic Curriculum

Didactic education is divided into 10 subject areas (TABLE 1), with 5 noncredit courses and several experiential learning experiences. First-year subject area rotations (2 months in length) provide approximately 30 hours of direct faculty contact. Each session culminates in an experiential mentored project presented to faculty and peers, which incorporates rotation-specific competencies (TABLE 2). Second-year subject area rotations (1 month in length) provide approximately 21 faculty contact hours (TABLE 1). The critical review of the literature course, designed to foster critical literature review and analytical skills, is taught using a standard format for article critique.²⁴ Along with the occupational epidemiology course, this lays the foundation for the research methods course where residents design and execute a research project during their second year. Required deliverables are an abstract presentation at a scientific meeting and a manuscript.²⁵ The clinical toxicology and clinical review series complete the course offerings. Residents present at monthly grand rounds using a structured format, which is offered via a web conference application to facilitate participation of remote faculty. Teaching sessions maximize discussion, interaction, and skill development.

Clinical Sites

Clinical training site faculty supervise residents, complete semiannual evaluations and chart reviews utilizing standard templates, help residents identify projects and gain stakeholder support, and provide formative feedback. Faculty conduct mentoring and auditing site visits (quarterly the first year and 3 times the second year), during which they shadow, mentor,

and advise residents, provide 1-on-1 teaching, and meet with the site supervisor to formally discuss resident progress.

Resident Assessment

The Clinical Competency Committee uses assessment tools and a resident portfolio to determine milestone achievement. Assessments include direct observation of the residents' clinical skills and project presentations by faculty, chart reviews, resident self-evaluations, 360-degree evaluations including patient ratings, resident awards and achievements, educational plans, monthly logs of clinical training activities, and site visit reports. Formal feedback to residents is provided semiannually, with informal feedback given throughout.

Graduation requires satisfactory achievement of milestones and competencies. The Program Evaluation Committee (a subcommittee of the Residency Advisory Committee subcommittee) evaluates the program. Residents evaluate the program, faculty, and peers.

Three independent measures of program quality are used: resident self-assessments and whether the training met their needs, faculty evaluations of residents, and resident performance on the ABPM Examination.

Diversity and Inclusion

A Diversity and Inclusion Committee, created in 2008, comprising residents and graduates seeks to increase diversity among residents. Initiatives include 1-day observerships for residents and medical students²⁵ and presentations at meetings.²⁶

The University of Pennsylvania Institutional Review Board declared this research exempt upon review.

Results

Trainee Demographics

Of 110 residents accepted into the external program between 1997 and 2015, 109 completed the program.

TABLE 3Mean In-Service Examination Scores for Train-in-Place Program Residents Compared to Participating Occupational Medicine Residency Programs (2008–2015)

	Overall Score	Chronic Disease	Epidemiology	Health Service Administration	Infectious Disease	Occupational and Environmental Medicine
Program average	49.68	51.38	47.59	469.22	45.75	55.05
National average	48.13	50.53	51.27	46.28	44.78	48.08
Average difference	1.55	0.85	-3.68	2.94	0.70	6.97

Ten external track residents are currently in training. Prior to entering the program, 46% (50 of 109) were diplomates of other American Board of Medical Specialties: family practice (n = 24), internal medicine (n = 22), emergency medicine (n = 2), pediatrics (n = 1), and surgery (n = 1). Six matriculants had PhD degrees, and 106 (97%) had master's degrees. The establishment of the Diversity and Inclusion Committee helped increase trainees who were underrepresented minorities from 6% (4 of 69) to 18% (8 of 44).

Measures

The mean resident self-assessment score against program competencies completed before the program started was 2.98 of 5, and rose to 4.69 of 5 at program completion. Resident evaluation whether the program met their needs was 4.79 of 5 (N = 89; graduates between 2002–2015). Despite average or below scores on the national In-service Examination compared to those of other residents (TABLE 3), program residents scored, on average, above the mean of OEM residency–trained ABPM-OM examinees (TABLE 4). As the largest civilian OEM residency program in the United States, graduates of the trainin-place program have comprised 7.5% of new ABPM-OM diplomates over the past decade (2005–2015).

Geographic Distribution of Trainees and Graduates

Training sites for current and past residents are distributed throughout all regions of the United States: Northeast (43 of 125, 34%), Midwest (24, 19%),

Southeast (24, 19%), West (29, 23%), Southwest (3, 2%), and Hawaii and Puerto Rico (2, 2%). Most sites are located outside the 25 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas (86 of 125, 69%). More than 90% of graduates continue to practice at these locations for more than 5 years after graduation. Graduates work in academic, industry, governmental, and hospital-based and group clinical settings.

Discussion

Successful implementation of this innovative train-inplace program has resulted in the training of a significant number of new board-certified OEM physicians. The acceptance of our model is evidenced by having all available funded positions filled each year, with a majority of residents enrolled in the external track. Graduates mentor and return to teach residents, and 19% (21 of 109) of external track alumni have served as site supervisors.

Although a significant time commitment is required by faculty to provide direct contact and personal interaction for external track residents, faculty enjoy the interaction and association with an academic medical center, resulting in a mutually beneficial relationship. Residents express satisfaction with the training and report competence on program completion based on their self-assessments. Training physicians in their communities renders graduates with superior skills for analyzing and meeting community needs. Most have remained in the field.

In comparison to traditional programs, superior performance on the ABPM-OM Examination, juxtaposed with comparable or slightly lower In-service Examination scores, emphasizes the importance of

TABLE 4Mean Certifying Examination Scores for Train-in-Place Program Residents Compared to National Residency-Trained Physicians

American Board of Preventive Medicine–Occupational Medicine (1999–2015)							
	Preventive Medicine Core	Occupational Medicine Specialty					
Train-in-place program mean	532.7	583.0					
National occupational medicine residency-trained mean	508.6	522.4					
Difference in means	24.1	60.7					

training in achieving these results. Geographic, ethnic, and cultural diversity of a critical mass of peers enhances trainee experience. Our model may allow a higher output of graduating residents per unit of grant dollars spent, as resident training sites remain a source of employment.

This intervention has limitations, including a lack of detailed assessment on performance measures after graduation. Published data on this measure, which would allow comparison of the train-in-place model to traditional programs, are limited. The ABPM-OM board pass rate is used as a surrogate. Another limitation is that we report data from a single institution, and the findings may not generalize to other institutions, particularly those with smaller faculty and resident numbers.

Next steps should include comparing long-term outcomes data to traditional programs, and determining the feasibility of implementing this model at other institutions.

Conclusion

Our novel train-in-place model overcomes barriers to access to training, resulting in increased numbers of OEM physicians prepared to meet the health care needs of the US workforce. This model has potential for replication.

References

- US Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics. Economic News Release. Employer-reported workplace injury and illnesses summary. https://www.bls.gov/ news.release/osh.nr0.htm. Accessed July 27, 2017.
- Green-McKenzie J, Kiselica D, Watkins M. Managing workers' compensation costs: success of initiatives to change outcomes. *Clin Occup Environ Med*. 2004;4(2):vi–vii, 295–308.
- Sengupta I, Baldwin ML, Reno V. Workers' compensation: benefits, coverage, and costs, 2012. Washington, DC: National Academy of Social Insurance; 2014. http://www.nasi.org/sites/default/files/ research/NASI_Work_Comp_Year_2014.pdf. Accessed July 27, 2017.
- Soni A. Statistical Brief #470: Trends in the Five Most Costly Conditions Among the US Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2002 and 2012. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. April 2015. https://meps.ahrq.gov/data_files/publications/ st470/stat470.shtml. Accessed July 27, 2017.
- 5. McLellan RK, Sherman B, Loeppke RR, et al. Optimizing health care delivery by integrating workplaces, homes and communities: how occupational and environmental medicine can serve as a vital connecting link between accountable care organizations

- and the patient-centered medical home. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2012;54(4):504–512.
- Shanafelt TD, Boone S, Tan L, et al. Burnout and satisfaction with work-life balance among US physicians relative to the general US population. *Arch Intern Med.* 2012;172(18):1377–1385.
- 7. Harber P, Bontemps J, Saechao K, et al. Career paths in occupational medicine. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2012;54(11):1324–1329.
- 8. Institute of Medicine Subcommittee on Physician Shortage. Addressing the physician shortage in occupational and environmental medicine. Washington, DC: Institute of Medicine; 1991. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK224150. Accessed July 27, 2017.
- Savanoor U, Shofer F, Green-McKenzie J. Occupational medicine: how and why practitioners enter the field. Poster presentation at: American Occupational Health Conference; Baltimore, MD; May 3, 2015.
- Duran H, Malak P, Emmett E, et al. Outcomes of an innovative competency based occupational medicine residency program. Poster presented at: American Occupational Health Conference; San Antonio, TX; April 29, 2014.
- Jones C, Morris R, Shofer S, et al. Assessment of medical student exposure to occupational medicine. Abstract presented at: Annual American Occupational Health Conference; Chicago, IL; April 2017.
- 12. Green-McKenzie J. Report to American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine House of Delegates. 2012.
- 13. American Board of Preventative Medicine. ABPM Examination pass rates. Occupational Medicine (all examinees). http://old.theabpm.org/pass_rates.cfm. Accessed July 27, 2017.
- American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. Public Affairs. ACOEM comments on graduate medical education program. January 16, 2015. http://www.acoem.org/gmecomm.aspx. Accessed July 27, 2017.
- Baker BA, Katyal S, Greaves IA, et al. Occupational medicine residency graduate survey: assessment of training programs and core competencies. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2007;49(12):1325–1388.
- Hagerty BB. Quit your job: a midlife career shift can be good for cognition, well-being, and even longevity. *The Atlantic*. April 2016. http://www.theatlantic.com/ magazine/archive/2016/04/quit-your-job/471501. Accessed July 27, 2017.
- 17. Beitsch R. To address doctor shortages, some states focus on residencies. *Stateline*. August 11, 2015. http://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/blogs/stateline/2015/08/11/to-address-doctor-shortages-some-states-focus-on-residencies. Accessed July 27, 2017.

- 18. Sullivan GM. The tragedy of the medical education commons. *J Grad Med Educ*. 2016;8(1):1–4.
- Emmett EA, Green-McKenzie J. External practicumyear residency training in occupational and environmental medicine: the University of Pennsylvania Medical Center Program. J Occup Environ Med. 2001;43(5):501–511.
- Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. ACGME Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in Preventive Medicine. https://www.acgme. org/Portals/0/PFAssets/ProgramRequirements/380_ preventive_medicine_2017-07-01.pdf. Accessed July 27, 2017.
- 21. Cloeren M, Gean C, Kesler D, et al. American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine's occupational and environmental medicine competencies–2014: ACOEM OEM Competencies Task Force. J Occup Environ Med. 2014;56(5):e21–e40.
- Emmet EA. What is the strategic value of occupational and environmental medicine—observations from United States and Australia. J Occup Environ Med. 1996;38(11):1124–1134.
- 23. Institute of Medicine. *Graduate Medical Education That Meets the Nation's Health Needs.* Washington,
 DC: National Academy of Sciences; 2015.
- 24. Green-McKenzie J. Concise Guide to Reading, Understanding, and Evaluating Medical Journal Articles. Beverly, MA: OEM Press; 2006.
- 25. Wheat-Hitchings L, Shofer F, Green-McKenzie J. Educational model to increase resident scholarly activity. Presented at: American Occupational Health Conference; Chicago, IL; April 11, 2016.
- 26. Green-McKenzie J, Drummond J. Strategies to increase underrepresented minorities in occupational and

environmental medicine training programs. US Department of Health and Human Services Office of Minority Health. Presented at: Third National Leadership Summit. Eliminating Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health. A Blueprint for Change. US Department of Health and Human Services; Washington, DC; February 25, 2009.



Both authors are with the Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine. **Judith Green-McKenzie**, **MD**, **MPH**, is Professor, Residency Program Director, and Chief, Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine; and **Edward A. Emmett**, **MD**, **MS**, is Professor and Director of Academic Programs, Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine.

Funding: This research was supported in part by training grants from the US National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, and grants from the Bureau of Health Professions, Health Resources and Services Administration, US Department of Health and Human Services.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare they have no competing interests.

This article is based on an oral presentation at the International Conference on Residency Education, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, October 18–20, 2012, and on a poster presentation at the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine Conference, San Antonio, Texas, April 27–30, 2014.

The authors would like to thank the contributions of the faculty, the Residency Advisory Committee, the site visitor panel, and the clinical training site supervisors who made this program possible.

Corresponding author: Judith Green-McKenzie, MD, MPH, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Ground Floor Silverstein, 3400 Spruce Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104-4283, 215.662.4439, fax 215.610.0666, judith.mckenzie@uphs.upenn.edu

Received November 4, 2016; revisions received April 16, 2017, and June 5, 2017; accepted June 8, 2017.